

October 17, 2022 Ahmet Can Mert <u>ahmet.mert@iaik.tugraz.at</u>



- All cryptographic operations are based on the arithmetic of number and polynomial groups, rings and fields.
 - RSA and ECC: *Large integer arithmetic.*
 - AES: Finite field (GF(2⁸)) arithmetic.
 - PQC, HE, ZKP: Prime field (GF(p)) and polynomial arithmetic.
- For designing efficient software and hardware:
 - Mathematical properties of elements.
 - Efficient representation methods of elements .
 - Algorithms of for arithmetic operations.

- Cryptographic protocols targets minimizing arithmetic operations for efficiency (without scarifying the security of the protocol).
- Efficient implementation of finite field or ring arithmetic leads to efficient cryptographic implementation.



• Example problems:

Problem: Design a **multiplier circuit** that takes two 256-bit integers as input and generates 512-bit integer as output.

- Algorithm?
- Resources: DSPs or LUTs or both?
- Target: High performance or low area?

• Example problems:

Problem: Design a **multiplier circuit** that takes two 256-bit integers as input and generates 512-bit integer as output.

- Algorithm?
- Resources: DSPs or LUTs or both?
- Target: High performance or low area?

Problem: Design a **modular reduction circuit** for 256-bit prime 11579208923731619542357098463454348869655883760549 7246864089130975994398638081. The circuit takes one 500-bit integer as input and performs *(mod p)* operation.

- Algorithm?
- Performance/resources?

- Most cryptographic algorithms are built upon mathematics of finite sets of integers.
 - Set of positive integers modulo $q, Z_q = \{0, 1, ..., q-1\}$
 - Fields GF(q^m)

- Most cryptographic algorithms are built upon mathematics of finite sets of integers.
 - Set of positive integers modulo $q, Z_q = \{0, 1, ..., q-1\}$
 - Fields GF(q^m)
- When *q* is prime and *m* is 1, we have prime finite field.
 - *m>1* gives us extension fields (e.g., AES)
- Finite field properties:
 - Closed
 - Associative / Commutative: (*a* . *b*) . *c* = *a* . (*b* . *c*) / *a* . *b* = *b* . *a*
 - Identity: *a* . 1 = *a*
 - Inverse: $a \cdot a^{-1} = 1$

- The arithmetic of such structures are often called **modular arithmetic.**
- In cryptography, addition/subtraction, multiplication and inversion *mod q* are operations of interest.
- Example: GF(5) : {0, 1, 2, 3, 4}
 - +: 3 + 3 (mod 5) = 1
 - -: 1 3 (mod 5) = 3
 - *: 2 * 4 (mod 5) = 3
 - / (inverse): $3 * 2 \pmod{5} = 1 \longrightarrow 3^{-1} \pmod{5} = 2$

Modular Addition

- Computation of A + B (mod q)
- Add and reduce:

```
Input: A, B < q, q

Output: C = A + B (mod q)

1: t = A + B

2: s = t - q

3: if (s \ge 0) then C = s else C = t

4: return C
```

• Sign detection: $s \ge 0$?

Modular Addition

- Computation of A + B (mod q)
- Add and reduce:

```
Input: A, B < q, q

Output: C = A + B (mod q)

1: t = A + B

2: s = t - q

3: if (s \ge 0) then C = s else C = t

4: return C
```



• Sign detection: $s \ge 0$?

Modular Subtraction

- Computation of A B (mod q)
- Subtract and reduce:

```
Input: A, B < q, q

Output: C = A - B (mod q)

1: t = A - B

2: s = t + q

3: if (t \ge 0) then C = t else C = s

4: return C
```

• Sign detection: $t \ge 0$?

Modular Subtraction

- Computation of A B (mod q)
- Subtract and reduce:

```
Input: A, B < q, q
Output: C = A - B (mod q)
1: t = A - B
2: s = t + q
3: if (t ≥ 0) then C = t else C = s
4: return C</pre>
```



• Sign detection: $t \ge 0$?

- Carry propagate adder (CPA) and Carry save adder (CSA)
 - Full Adder box:



 $S_i = A_i \bigoplus B_i \bigoplus C_i$ $C_{i+1} = A_i \cdot B_i + A_i \cdot C_i + B_i \cdot C_i$

• CPA Topology:



- Carry propagate adder (CPA) and Carry save adder (CSA)
 - Full Adder box:



 $S_i = A_i \bigoplus B_i \bigoplus C_i$ $C_{i+1} = A_i \cdot B_i + A_i \cdot C_i + B_i \cdot C_i$

• CPA Topology:



Total area: $k \cdot FA$ Total delay: $k \cdot FA$

- Carry propagate adder (CPA) and Carry save adder (CSA)
 - Full Adder box:



$$S_i = A_i \bigoplus B_i \bigoplus C_i$$

$$C_{i+1} = A_i \cdot B_i + A_i \cdot C_i + B_i \cdot C_i$$

• CSA Topology:



Example:

A	=	40	101000
В	=	25	011001
С	=	20	010100
S	=	37	100101
C'	=	48	011000

- Carry propagate adder (CPA) and Carry save adder (CSA)
 - Full Adder box:



$$S_i = A_i \bigoplus B_i \bigoplus C_i$$

$$C_{i+1} = A_i \cdot B_i + A_i \cdot C_i + B_i \cdot C_i$$

• CSA Topology:



Modular Multiplication

- Computation of $A \cdot B \pmod{q}$
- Multiply and reduce:
 - Multiply: $D = A \cdot B$
 - Reduce: $C = D \pmod{q}$

- Most of PKC algorithms require (large) integer multiplication.
 - Multipliers with large bit length have a major impact on the performance.

- Most of PKC algorithms require (large) integer multiplication.
 - Multipliers with large bit length have a major impact on the performance.
- Schoolbook (Standard) Approach:

- Most of PKC algorithms require (large) integer multiplication.
 - Multipliers with large bit length have a major impact on the performance.
- Schoolbook (Standard) Approach:

			2	0	5	3
		×	<u>,</u> 1	1	7	6
			2.6	0.6	5.6	3.6
		2.7	0.7	5.7	3.7	
	2.1	0.1	5.1	3.1		
2.1	0.1	5.1	3.1			

- Most of PKC algorithms require (large) integer multiplication.
 - Multipliers with large bit length have a major impact on the performance.
- Schoolbook (Standard) Approach:

			2	0	5	3
		x	1	1	7	6
			12	0	30	18
		14	0	35	21	
	2	0	5	3		
2	0	5	3			

- Most of PKC algorithms require (large) integer multiplication.
 - Multipliers with large bit length have a major impact on the performance.
- Schoolbook (Standard) Approach:

			2	0	5	3
		x	1	1	7	6
		1	2	3	1	8
	1	4	3	7	1	
	2	0	5	3		
2	0	5	3			

- Most of PKC algorithms require (large) integer multiplication.
 - Multipliers with large bit length have a major impact on the performance.
- Schoolbook (Standard) Approach:

			2	0	5	3
		x	1	1	7	6
		1	2	3	1	8
	1	4	3	7	1	
	2	0	5	3		
+ 2	0	5	3			
2	4	1	4	3	2	8

- Divide a large multiplication into smaller chunks.
 - Multiply two *n*-bit (or digit) integers using (n/2)-bit multiplications

- Divide a large multiplication into smaller chunks.
 - Multiply two *n*-bit (or digit) integers using (n/2)-bit multiplications
 - Example: $a, b < r^n$ where r is the radix

- Divide a large multiplication into smaller chunks.
 - Multiply two *n*-bit (or digit) integers using (n/2)-bit multiplications
 - Example: *a*, *b* < *r*^{*n*} where r is the radix

 $a = a_H \cdot r^{n/2} + a_L$ $b = b_H \cdot r^{n/2} + b_L$

- Divide a large multiplication into smaller chunks.
 - Multiply two *n*-bit (or digit) integers using (n/2)-bit multiplications
 - Example: *a*, *b* < *r*^{*n*} where r is the radix

 $a = a_H \cdot r^{n/2} + a_L$ $b = b_H \cdot r^{n/2} + b_L$

 $a \cdot b = (a_H \cdot r^{n/2} + a_L) \cdot (b_H \cdot r^{n/2} + b_L)$

- Divide a large multiplication into smaller chunks.
 - Multiply two *n*-bit (or digit) integers using (n/2)-bit multiplications
 - Example: *a*, *b* < *r*^{*n*} where r is the radix

 $a = a_H \cdot r^{n/2} + a_L$ $b = b_H \cdot r^{n/2} + b_L$

$$a \cdot b = (a_{H} \cdot r^{n/2} + a_{L}) \cdot (b_{H} \cdot r^{n/2} + b_{L})$$

= $a_{H} \cdot b_{H} \cdot r^{n} + a_{H} \cdot b_{L} \cdot r^{n/2} + a_{L} \cdot b_{H} \cdot r^{n/2} + a_{L} \cdot b_{L}$
= $a_{H} \cdot b_{H} \cdot r^{n} + (a_{H} \cdot b_{L} \cdot + a_{L} \cdot b_{H}) \cdot r^{n/2} + a_{L} \cdot b_{L}$

- Divide a large multiplication into smaller chunks.
 - Multiply two *n*-bit (or digit) integers using (n/2)-bit multiplications
 - Example: *a*, *b* < 10⁴

- Divide a large multiplication into smaller chunks.
 - Multiply two *n*-bit (or digit) integers using (n/2)-bit multiplications
 - Example: *a*, *b* < 10⁴



- Divide a large multiplication into smaller chunks.
 - Multiply two *n*-bit (or digit) integers using (n/2)-bit multiplications
 - Example: *a*, *b* < 10⁴

- Divide a large multiplication into smaller chunks.
 - Multiply two n-bit (or digit) integers using (n/2)-bit multiplications
 - Example: a, b < 10⁴



- Divide a large multiplication into smaller chunks.
 - Multiply two n-bit (or digit) integers using (n/2)-bit multiplications
 - Example: a, b < 10⁴



- Divide a large multiplication into smaller chunks.
 - Multiply two n-bit (or digit) integers using (n/2)-bit multiplications
 - Example: a, b < 10⁴





- Divide a large multiplication into smaller chunks.
 - Multiply two n-bit (or digit) integers using (n/2)-bit multiplications
 - Example: a, b < 10⁴



- How to multiply two integers using Xilinx DSPs? How many DSPs are required?
 - One Xilinx DSP has 25-bit x 18-bit signed multiplier.
- How to multiply two integers using Xilinx DSPs? How many DSPs are required?
 - One Xilinx DSP has 25-bit x 18-bit signed multiplier.

- How to multiply two integers using Xilinx DSPs? How many DSPs are required?
 - One Xilinx DSP has 25-bit x 18-bit signed multiplier.



- How to multiply two integers using Xilinx DSPs? How many DSPs are required?
 - One Xilinx DSP has 25-bit x 18-bit signed multiplier.



- How to multiply two integers using Xilinx DSPs? How many DSPs are required?
 - One Xilinx DSP has 25-bit x 18-bit signed multiplier.



- How to multiply two integers using Xilinx DSPs? How many DSPs are required?
 - One Xilinx DSP has 25-bit x 18-bit signed multiplier.



32-bit integers

What about squaring?

- How to multiply two integers using Xilinx DSPs? How many DSPs are required?
 - One Xilinx DSP has 25-bit x 18-bit signed multiplier.

- How to multiply two integers using Xilinx DSPs? How many DSPs are required?
 - One Xilinx DSP has 25-bit x 18-bit signed multiplier.

		24	16		
x	8	16	16		

- How to multiply two integers using Xilinx DSPs? How many DSPs are required?
 - One Xilinx DSP has 25-bit x 18-bit signed multiplier.

		24	16		
x	8	16	16		



- How to multiply two integers using Xilinx DSPs? How many DSPs are required?
 - One Xilinx DSP has 25-bit x 18-bit signed multiplier.



- How to multiply two integers using Xilinx DSPs? How many DSPs are required?
 - One Xilinx DSP has 25-bit x 18-bit signed multiplier.



- How to multiply two integers using Xilinx DSPs? How many DSPs are required?
 - One Xilinx DSP has 25-bit x 18-bit signed multiplier.



- How to multiply two integers using Xilinx DSPs? How many DSPs are required?
 - One Xilinx DSP has 25-bit x 18-bit signed multiplier.



- How to multiply two integers using Xilinx DSPs? How many DSPs are required?
 - One Xilinx DSP has 25-bit x 18-bit signed multiplier.



- Tiling problem (cover a given region using a given set of tiles without overlap).
 - Xilinx DSPs have asymmetric multipliers.
 - How to decompose inputs efficiently?

- Tiling problem (cover a given region using a given set of tiles without overlap)
 - Xilinx DSPs have asymmetric multipliers
 - How to decompose inputs efficiently?
- Example: 58-bit multiplication ^[1]

	10	24	1	24
Х	7	17	17	17

- Tiling problem (cover a given region using a given set of tiles without overlap)
 - Xilinx DSPs have asymmetric multipliers
 - How to decompose inputs efficiently?
- Example: 58-bit multiplication ^[1]





- Tiling problem (cover a given region using a given set of tiles without overlap)
 - Xilinx DSPs have asymmetric multipliers
 - How to decompose inputs efficiently?
- Example: 58-bit multiplication ^[1]



Key observations: 1. $mul_{best} = [(b \cdot b) / (w1 \cdot w2)]$



- Tiling problem (cover a given region using a given set of tiles without overlap)
 - Xilinx DSPs have asymmetric multipliers
 - How to decompose inputs efficiently?
- Example: 58-bit multiplication ^[1]



Key observations: 1. mul_{best} = [(b . b) / (w1 . w2)] 2. b = m . w1 + n . w2



- Tiling problem (cover a given region using a given set of tiles without overlap)
 - Xilinx DSPs have asymmetric multipliers
 - How to decompose inputs efficiently?
- Example: 58-bit multiplication ^[1]



- Tiling problem (cover a given region using a given set of tiles without overlap)
 - Xilinx DSPs have asymmetric multipliers
 - How to decompose inputs efficiently?
- Example: 58-bit multiplication ^[1]





- Tiling problem (cover a given region using a given set of tiles without overlap)
 - Xilinx DSPs have asymmetric multipliers
 - How to decompose inputs efficiently?
- Example: 58-bit multiplication ^[1]





- Tiling problem (cover a given region using a given set of tiles without overlap)
 - Xilinx DSPs have asymmetric multipliers
 - How to decompose inputs efficiently?
- Example: 58-bit multiplication ^[1]





- Tiling problem (cover a given region using a given set of tiles without overlap)
 - Xilinx DSPs have asymmetric multipliers
 - How to decompose inputs efficiently?
- Example: 58-bit multiplication ^[1]





- Tiling problem (cover a given region using a given set of tiles without overlap)
 - Xilinx DSPs have asymmetric multipliers
 - How to decompose inputs efficiently?
- Example: 58-bit multiplication ^[1]





- Tiling problem (cover a given region using a given set of tiles without overlap)
 - Xilinx DSPs have asymmetric multipliers
 - How to decompose inputs efficiently?
- Example: 58-bit multiplication ^[1]





- Tiling problem (cover a given region using a given set of tiles without overlap)
 - Xilinx DSPs have asymmetric multipliers
 - How to decompose inputs efficiently?
- Example: 58-bit multiplication ^[1]





- Tiling problem (cover a given region using a given set of tiles without overlap)
 - Xilinx DSPs have asymmetric multipliers
 - How to decompose inputs efficiently?
- Example: 58-bit multiplication ^[1]





- Tiling problem (cover a given region using a given set of tiles without overlap)
 - Xilinx DSPs have asymmetric multipliers
 - How to decompose inputs efficiently?
- Example: 58-bit multiplication ^[1]





- Tiling problem (cover a given region using a given set of tiles without overlap)
 - Xilinx DSPs have asymmetric multipliers
 - How to decompose inputs efficiently?
- Example: 58-bit multiplication ^[1]





- Schoolbook method has $O(n^2)$ complexity.
- Karatsuba Algorithm uses a divide-and-conquer method and reduces complexity to $O(n^{1.58})$.

- Schoolbook method has $O(n^2)$ complexity.
- Karatsuba Algorithm uses a divide-and-conquer method and reduces complexity to $O(n^{1.58})$.

a, *b* < r^n where *r* is the radix

 $a = a_{H} \cdot r^{n/2} + a_{L}$ $b = b_{H} \cdot r^{n/2} + b_{L}$ $a \cdot b = a_{H} \cdot b_{H} \cdot r^{n} + (a_{H} \cdot b_{L} + a_{L} \cdot b_{H}) \cdot r^{n/2} + a_{L} \cdot b_{L}$

- Schoolbook method has $O(n^2)$ complexity.
- Karatsuba Algorithm uses a divide-and-conquer method and reduces complexity to $O(n^{1.58})$.

a, *b* < r^n where *r* is the radix

 $a = a_{H} \cdot r^{n/2} + a_{L}$ $b = b_{H} \cdot r^{n/2} + b_{L}$ $a \cdot b = a_{H} \cdot b_{H} \cdot r^{n} + (a_{H} \cdot b_{L} + a_{L} \cdot b_{H}) \cdot r^{n/2} + a_{L} \cdot b_{L} = z_{0} \cdot r^{n} + (z_{1} + z_{2}) \cdot r^{n/2} + z_{3}$

- Schoolbook method has $O(n^2)$ complexity.
- Karatsuba Algorithm uses a divide-and-conquer method and reduces complexity to $O(n^{1.58})$.



- Schoolbook method has $O(n^2)$ complexity.
- Karatsuba Algorithm uses a divide-and-conquer method and reduces complexity to $O(n^{1.58})$.

$$a = a_{H} \cdot r^{n/2} + a_{L} b = b_{H} \cdot r^{n/2} + b_{L} a \cdot b = a_{H} \cdot b_{H} \cdot r^{n} + (a_{H} \cdot b_{L} + a_{L} \cdot b_{H}) \cdot r^{n/2} + a_{L} \cdot b_{L} = z_{0} \cdot r^{n} + (z_{1} + z_{2}) \cdot r^{n/2} + z_{3}$$
 Standard divide-and-conquer uses 4 multiplication.

$$1 \cdot z_{0} = a_{H} \cdot b_{H}$$

- Schoolbook method has $O(n^2)$ complexity.
- Karatsuba Algorithm uses a divide-and-conquer method and reduces complexity to $O(n^{1.58})$.

$$a = a_{H} \cdot r^{n/2} + a_{L}$$

$$b = b_{H} \cdot r^{n/2} + b_{L}$$

$$a \cdot b = a_{H} \cdot b_{H} \cdot r^{n} + (a_{H} \cdot b_{L} + a_{L} \cdot b_{H}) \cdot r^{n/2} + a_{L} \cdot b_{L} = z_{0} \cdot r^{n} + (z_{1} + z_{2}) \cdot r^{n/2} + z_{3}$$

$$I \cdot z_{0} = a_{H} \cdot b_{H}$$

$$2 \cdot z_{3} = a_{L} \cdot b_{L}$$
Standard
divide-and-conquer
uses 4 multiplication.

- Schoolbook method has $O(n^2)$ complexity.
- Karatsuba Algorithm uses a divide-and-conquer method and reduces complexity to $O(n^{1.58})$.


Integer Multiplication: Karatsuba Algorithm

- Karatsuba algorithm can be applied recursively.
 - How many DSPs are required for 58-bit multiplication?



Integer Multiplication: Literature

- Many works following Karatsuba's invention
 - Toom-Cook
 - Schonhage-Strassen
 - Uses FFT
 - Harvey's Method

Date	Authors	Time complexity
${<}3000 \; \mathrm{BC}$	Unknown [37]	$O(n^2)$
1962	Karatsuba [30, 31]	$O(n^{\log 3/\log 2})$
1963	T oom [51, 50]	$O(n 2^{5\sqrt{\log n / \log 2}})$
1966	Schönhage [45]	$O(n 2^{\sqrt{2 \log n / \log 2}} (\log n)^{3/2})$
1969	Knuth [32]	$O(n 2^{\sqrt{2 \log n / \log 2}} \log n)$
1971	Schönhage–Strassen [47]	$O(n\log n\log\log n)$
2007	Fürer [18]	$O(n \log n 2^{O(\log^* n)})$
2014	This paper	$O(n \log n 8^{\log^* n})$

Table 1.1. Historical overview of known complexity bounds for *n*-bit integer multiplication.

* Harvey et al., Even faster integer multiplication, arXiv/1407.3360, 2014

• State-of-the-art (2019)

Integer multiplication in time $O(n \log n)$

DAVID HARVEY AND JORIS VAN DER HOEVEN

ABSTRACT. We present an algorithm that computes the product of two *n*-bit integers in $O(n \log n)$ bit operations, thus confirming a conjecture of Schönhage and Strassen from 1971. Our complexity analysis takes place in the multitape Turing machine model, with integers encoded in the usual binary representation. Central to the new algorithm is a novel "Gaussian resampling" technique that enables us to reduce the integer multiplication problem to a collection of multidimensional discrete Fourier transforms over the complex numbers, whose dimensions are all powers of two. These transforms may then be evaluated rapidly by means of Nussbaumer's fast polynomial transforms.

- Sometimes, one of the operands is a fixed integer.
 - Using a generic integer multiplier will not be optimal.

- Sometimes, one of the operands is a fixed integer.
 - Using a generic integer multiplier will not be optimal.
 - Example: 24-bit multiplication: (A · 8519937)

- Sometimes, one of the operands is a fixed integer.
 - Using a generic integer multiplier will not be optimal.
 - Example: 24-bit multiplication: (A · 8519937)
 - DSP-based approach will require 2 DSPs.

- Sometimes, one of the operands is a fixed integer.
 - Using a generic integer multiplier will not be optimal.
 - Example: 24-bit multiplication: (A · 8519937)
 - DSP-based approach will require 2 DSPs

•
$$8519937 = 2^{23} + 2^{17} + 2^8 + 1$$

A · $8519937 = A \cdot (2^{23} + 2^{17} + 2^8 + 1)$
A · $8519937 = A \cdot 2^{23} + A \cdot 2^{17} + A \cdot 2^8 + A$

- Shift-Add based approach
 - Example: $C \cdot X$

$$C = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c_i 2^i \quad \text{where } c_i \text{ is } \{0, 1\}$$
$$CX = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c_i 2^i X \quad C \cdot X = X \cdot c_0 \cdot 2^0 + X \cdot c_1 \cdot 2^1 + X \cdot c_2 \cdot 2^2 + \dots$$

• Complexity depends on the number of 1s in the binary representation of *C*.

- Use different number representation/encoding.
 - Canonical Signed-Digit (CSD) (also called non-adjacent form) uses the digits {-1, 0, 1} to represent a number in such a way that no two adjacent digits are non-zero.

- Use different number representation/encoding.
 - Canonical Signed-Digit (CSD) (also called non-adjacent form) uses the digits {-1, 0, 1} to represent a number in such a way that no two adjacent digits are non-zero.
- Example: implementation of $477 \cdot X$

 $477 \cdot X = (111011101)_2 \cdot X$ = (X << 8) + (X << 7) + (X << 6) + (X << 4) + (X << 3) + (X << 2) + X

- Use different number representation/encoding.
 - Canonical Signed-Digit (CSD) (also called non-adjacent form) uses the digits {-1, 0, 1} to represent a number in such a way that no two adjacent digits are non-zero.
- Example: implementation of $477 \cdot X$

 $477 \cdot X = (111011101)_2 \cdot X$ = (X << 8) + (X << 7) + (X << 6) + (X << 4) + (X << 3) + (X << 2) + X

 $477 \cdot X = (1000\overline{1}00\overline{1}01)_2 \cdot X$ = (X << 9) - (X << 5) - (X << 2) + X

- There are plenty of works in the literature for efficient implementation of constant multiplication operation.
 - Single Constant Multiplication (SCM)
 - Multiple Constant Multiplication (MCM)
 - Common sub-expression elimination
 - Reconfigurable SCM/MCM

- There are plenty of works in the literature for efficient implementation of constant multiplication operation.
 - Single Constant Multiplication (SCM)
 - Multiple Constant Multiplication (MCM)
 - Common sub-expression elimination
 - Reconfigurable SCM/MCM

• Example: An open-source tool ^{[1][2]}



Voronenko et al. Multiplierless multiple constant multiplication. ACM Transactions on Algorithms (TALG). 2007
Multiplier block generator. https://spiral.ece.cmu.edu/mcm/gen.html